



NFWF

## **Session 12: Long-Term Management & Financing**

*Tim DiCintio, Senior Vice President, Impact-Directed Environmental Accounts*

*Mitigation Banking and In-Lieu Fee IRT Program Training Course, June 13, 2019*

## NFWF'S Involvement with LTMM Funds

- Or, who are we and why are we here?
  - NFWF Impact-Directed Environmental Accounts (IDEA)
  - Administers funds designated for the benefit of specified natural resources from *enforcement and regulatory* proceedings
  - Enforcement funds - settlement of judicial/administrative cases
  - Regulatory funds - permit-derived mitigation funds
- Mitigation funds managed by IDEA
  - Short-term measure mitigation funds (restoration, enhancement, acquisition, etc.)
  - Serve as Sponsor of ILF wetlands mitigation program in the USACE Sacramento District
  - Holder of long-term measure mitigation funds (LTMM and “mitigation endowments”)



## Today's Objective

- Provide an understanding of principles applicable to the establishment and administration of long-term management and monitoring funds (“LTMM Funds”) for mitigation sites
  - Principles apply equally to mitigation bank sites, ILF project sites, and permittee-responsible project sites
  - Addressed in the 2008 Rule by 33 C.F.R. §332.7(d)(2) and (3)
  - §332.7(d)(2) requires a long-term management plan addressing:
    - the long-term management needs of the site;
    - annual cost estimates for those needs; and
    - the funding mechanism to pay those costs
  - §332.7(d)(3) provides a list of appropriate long-term funding mechanisms

## Key Issues for LTMM Funds

1. Nature of LTMM Funds -- difference from “Financial Assurances”
2. Importance of up-front planning and modeling
3. Options for legal structure of funding mechanism
4. How to size the initial amount of the fund
5. Ongoing operational rules of the fund

## The Nature of LTMM Funds

- Long-term or “perpetual” in duration
  - Expected to pay the costs of long-term management and monitoring of the mitigation property for a very long time
- Contrast with Financial Assurances
  - 33 C.F.R. 332.3(n) establishes requirements for financial assurances to ensure project completion
  - Acceptable mechanisms are “performance bonds, escrow accounts, casualty insurance, letters of credit,” etc.
  - These mechanisms are phased out once the DE determines the project has met its performance standards
- FA is *near-term*; LTMM is long-term/perpetual
- FA is *contingent*; LTMM is expected to be drawn over time

## Planning for the Long-Term

- Keep in mind: the LTMM Fund will be expected to “perform” for an indefinite period of time
- Options for returning to the payor for additional funds are likely limited as a practical and legal matter
  - Risk of insolvency, bankruptcy, dissolution, etc.
- The inputs and assumptions adopted up-front will directly affect the fund’s likelihood of success
- Consider the use of planning tools:
  - TNC’s long-term stewardship calculator (2016)
  - ELI – LTA Handbook on long-term stewardship (2012)
  - Stewardship planning & costing software
- **Bottom line: it’s worth investing time at the outset because perpetuity is a long time to be wrong**

## Legal Structures for the LTMM Fund

- Under §332.7(d)(3), appropriate funding mechanisms for long-term stewardship costs include:
  - “Non-wasting endowments”
  - Trusts
  - Contractual arrangements with future responsible parties; and
  - Other appropriate financial instruments
- Key goals of all of these mechanisms should be:
  - Ensure the funds are **legally restricted** to the purposes and property for which they were extracted, consistent with applicable law, regulation, and permitting documents
  - Ensure the mechanism is based on legal, financial, and **operational principles** that provide the mechanism with a strong statistical chance of persisting indefinitely

## A Note on “Non-Wasting Endowments”

- “Non-wasting” terminology is not used in modern endowment management practice
- Arose from now-obsolete laws that had applied “principal and income” or “historic dollar value” approaches to endowment investment and spending
- Modern endowment law, codified in the Uniform Prudent Management of Institutional Funds Act (“UPMIFA”), has abandoned P&I and HDV approaches
- UPMIFA incorporates a general standard of prudent spending measured against the purpose of the fund, and invites consideration of other factors
- UPMIFA was *designed* to allow spending from “underwater” funds





## Implications of Different Legal Structures

- Whatever structure is approved for the LTMM Fund should be memorialized in **appropriate documentation**
- IRT permitting agencies should consider the extent of their **ongoing oversight** rights to ensure the funds are being managed and spent appropriately
- Beyond the four corners of the legal structure document, other authorities may apply to the fund:
  - The law pursuant to which the funds were extracted (i.e., CWA)
  - Accompanying regulations, policies, and guidance
  - The terms of the permit(s) that required the funds
  - And otherwise, “background” law, e.g., contract law, trust law, fiduciary law, etc.
- **Remember: the legal and accounting treatment of the funds matters**



## Sizing the Initial Amount of the LTMM Fund: First Steps

- A critical issue is how to determine the fund's initial amount
- This can be separated into at least four separate steps:
  - Creating the long-term management plan
  - Itemizing that plan into specific annual tasks
  - Assigning a cost to each itemized task
  - Translating that cost stream into an up-front funding need
- The first 3 steps are often accomplished through different types of “property analyses”
- Accuracy in the first 3 steps is critical to the accuracy of the up-front funding calculation

## Sizing the Initial Amount of the LTMM Fund: The Role of the Cap Rate

- After the LTMM plan has been developed and costed, the next step is to convert the year-over-year cash stream need into the up-front funding amount
- This is accomplished through the application of what is known as the capitalization rate, or “Cap Rate”
- The Cap Rate is the percentage of the LTMM Fund necessary to be drawn each year for LTMM costs
  - $\text{Cap Rate} \times \text{Initial Amount of LTMM Fund} = \text{Annual Cash Need}$
- To solve for the LTMM Fund amount, the formula is:
  - $\text{Annual Cash Need} \div \text{Cap Rate} = \text{Initial Amount}$
  - Example:  $\$20,000 \div 0.03 = \$666,667$

## Sizing the Initial Amount of the LTMM Fund: Selecting a Cap Rate

- The Cap Rate reflects the **net amount of gain (%)** that the portfolio must realize each year on average to meet the cash requirement for LTMM costs
- “Net” in this sense is not only net of fees (investment manager and other administrative), but also net of inflation
- Assuming administrative fees at 1% and inflation at 3% annually, the fund must be projected to return on average 4% annually before introduction of *any* Cap Rate

## Sizing the Initial Amount of the LTMM Fund: Relationship of Cap Rate to Return Target

	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Scenario 4
<b>Inflation</b>	3%	3%	3%	3%
<b>Admin Fees</b>	1%	1%	1%	1%
<b>Cap Rate</b>	5%	3%	1%	0.5%
<hr/>				
<b>Target Rate of Return (Nominal)</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>

## Sizing the Initial Amount of the LTMM Fund: Who Selects the Cap Rate?

- Bank sponsors, ILF sponsors, or permittees *propose*
- IRTs *approve*, either explicitly or implicitly
- IRTs (and other permitting agencies) generally attempt to balance two primary competing factors:
  - On one hand, requiring a lower Cap Rate increases the statistical likelihood of successful funding in perpetuity
  - On the other hand, allowing the use of a higher Cap Rate decreases the amount that must be paid up front, and thus is often advocated by payors (i.e., bankers, sponsors, permittees)
- These competing factors reflect the risk-reward calculus inherent in determining the Cap Rate and the initial amount of the fund



## Sizing the Initial Amount of the LTMM Fund: Effect of Different Cap Rates

The lower the Cap Rate, the higher the initial amount of the fund:

Annual Cash Need	Cap Rate	Initial Fund Amount
\$20,000	5%	\$400,000
\$20,000	3%	\$666,667
\$20,000	1%	\$2,000,000
\$20,000	0.5%	\$4,000,000

## Sizing the Initial Amount of the LTMM Fund: Cap Rate Must Relate Rationally to Investment Strategy

- Whatever Cap Rate is proposed, it must bear a coherent relationship to the investment strategy for the LTMM Fund
- Lower Cap Rates *may* allow for less risky investment portfolios
- Cap Rates in the range of 3-4% would require investment strategies expected to return, on average, 7-8% annually
- Achieving 7-8% annually requires a diversified asset allocation within the investment portfolio
- Any portfolio should be defined by a written Investment Policy Statement that accurately reflects the return target inherent in the Cap Rate



## Operational Rules for a LTMM Fund

- Presumption that the annual amount needed for LTMM work per the plan *will be disbursed* each year to fund the necessary work
  - Often funded in an annual, advance lump sum
  - Any overage at year end can be offset against next year payment
- In this sense LTMM Funds can be conceived as more analogous to defined-benefit plans (e.g., pensions) than true endowments
- Agencies may also require various “buffering mechanisms” or fail-safes in conjunction with this approach

## Common Buffering Mechanisms

- Require several years' worth of initial annual funding to allow the LTMM Fund to mature (“delayed spend”)
- Require in the cash need analysis (1) a minimum contingency amount and/or (2) funds for adaptive management
- Do not allow incremental disbursement of funds for non-annual work items (i.e., for periodic fencing, allow only the full draw for the year needed)
- Retain ability to suspend or reduce disbursements to protect viability of the LTMM Fund
  - Develop early consultation process with affected land managers to examine impact of reduced or suspended draws from the LTMM Fund

## Some Key Questions for IRT Agencies

- Who is responsible for determining what LTMM activities are required on the property over time?
- How will line-item costs be developed for those activities?
- What is the agencies' risk tolerance for investment of funds, and thus the “target return” that drives a Cap Rate?
- What are the inflation and administrative fee assumptions?
- Does the investment strategy match the return target?
- What are the general rules around annual disbursement of funds to long-term property managers?
- What level of oversight does the IRT want regarding monitoring of LTMM work, the LTMM Fund, the long-term property manager, and the Fund holder?



## Parting Thoughts

- Since LTMM Funds are expected to exist perpetually into the future, there is no certainty in the analysis, only statistical probabilities
- The best that can be done is sound modeling
- Endowment-style investment portfolios entail diversified asset allocations
- Diversified asset allocations entail risk: risk can be managed but not eliminated
- “Past performance is no guarantee of future results”

## Questions?

Timothy DiCintio, Senior Vice President  
Impact-Directed Environmental Accounts  
(202) 595-2466  
[timothy.dicintio@nfwf.org](mailto:timothy.dicintio@nfwf.org)

Stephanie Tom Coupe, Senior Director  
Impact-Directed Environmental Accounts  
(415) 243-3103  
[stephanie.tomcoupe@nfwf.org](mailto:stephanie.tomcoupe@nfwf.org)

